Julian Beck - 21st July 1967 Living Theatre

P. 1/21 ... The next thing that you have to do in this regard is te try to set things up se that your life is not constantly devoted to the process of making money, because as long as the object of your work is financial remuneration the work itself becomes cursed and the sanctification of the dee, the sacred act, the sanctification of the mement is totally aberted, when the object of the work done is no longer the work itself, it's no longer the passion, the creation of the product, but the object is the money. So you forget about the meney and you put that aside and that becomes a sert of side issue, and you learn various ways actually of getting along with less of it and less of it, and this is very, very important. And I think that then you can begin creating things, in the theatre anyway, that are not made with the idea that they're eventually going to be financiaaly

remunerative and you can begin to work merefreely. The

perblem which I think on the ... No, I'll come back to that.

So we then carry on this object of the collectivity
beyond the surface structure of the basic economy, because as
I say to divide money and livex as a collectivity economically
in a way is only part of the very desperate need that men
have, that people have to livex creatively in collectivity.
To establish a situation in which the individual is not
sacrificed to the collective nor the collective to the individual.
So then it becomes necessary to carry the aspect of collectivity
into the work itself. So that we havetried in our particular
sphere, small as it is, but merely as a matter of working out
our own personal lives towards salvation, to destroy as much
as possible the aegis of authority; to get rid of myself,
that is I have to wither away as kind of the founder and
director, and the pursuit became: How do you make a play,

EW.

RIN

really, without the authoritarian demain of the Director, the Designer, the Author - I should perhaps have said Author first - the Leading Actor; and how do you establish a situation in which the singularity of the individual as semeene who deminates a group, even in guiding them, can be done away with. And our inreads here have been very serious and I think prefound. I would not say that they have been total because we have so far worked on three plays, two of which have been totally created by a group of appreximately 25-30 people working from the very basis of "What shall we do, hew shall we do it, what constitutes the creative event and hew can we make this creative event happen?" And then everyone has participated to - from each according to his ability, whatever he has to contribute to the mise-en-scene, to the performances, to the degree to which each actor can participate. We've dene this with a thing called "Mysteries" and a thing called "Frankenstein". And the mise-en-scene, the direction for "Antigone" was again semething which was created out of the work and the fruit of impassioned discussions and psychdedlic imprevisations made by 30 people. In both cases we - tewards the end we found ourselves harrasses by time in conjunction with money, that is there came a certain point where we were helding out as long as we could to see the cellective experiment through, we found ourselves harrassed still by time and meney and a few of us kind of gathered tegether the ferces to defeat, if we could, a very strident Mammen. That's why I said that we maybe haven't totally yet had a chance't to work through our experiment theroughly. But we are still in the state of struggling to create that space and that time and that situation arpimd curselves when we will be able to say that this particular work of theatre art has started from a cellective, neurished itself on the individual contributions

Rfw.

of members of the cellective and flowered as a theroughly cellective vision. The end of all this as we know it now of course is only a part of a continuous cycle. When we have reached that stage we don't know where and how wege on, and how it widens. Once we have experienced the thorough joy of the totally collective creation, then we will know perhaps whether we want to stay there or whether we want to centinue widening the sphere semehow. I imagine it will probably be more concerned with making what we are creating simply more prefound.

P. 3

in which you find yourself liberated enough so that you can work and create cooperatively with another person. It is necessary in a certain sense to surrender your identity and to take on the identity of someone also. And also it is necessary to surrender your identity to someone sles, and in the group enebegins to find very drag strange things: you begin to find yourself speaking through other people, you find other people speaking through yourself. You find a form of communication that you had not previously experienced. And you also reach that point in discussion and work and improvisation when you findthat a peetry mere vaulting than your won begins to emerge from other parts and other sections and carries you along, and the jey of becoming part of that, the feling that it is part of you and you part of it is almost excessive. And I speak new almost in a sert of missionary fashion, because I havehad the pleasure of this particular kind of anguish, and I simply say to the 50 people that are here right new that if there si eppertunity for you in your ewnz time and your ewn work to begin to perhaps some of you have already much deeper experiences in k this particular area than I, but perhaps also what I say can encourage, a little bit, to go further in the precreative jeys of the cooperative.

AW,

F. 11

I den't knew at the mement, but we did talk about it, and we talked about it in these terms. We talked about it mestly en the basis fe the respensibility to the person that you love or have loved. And we talked about it on thebasis of the amount of things that one does in this world that Rill a person a little bit. We've been talking about this recently. And I use for an example - I'll try to be very brief about it - a very simple thing, which is: we did a scene in which a person's body was taken by the rest of the group, and the rest of the group did anything they wanted to this person's body. That is, they could twist the head off, they could bend its back ... the person in half, do anything that they wanted to this person. It was a ritual act that we performed, and we found that it is semething that we prebably will - may use in this thing that we're working en. And at the ends of about - I den't knew the timing of it - 35 or 40 minutes of this ritual, the 25 people were in a state wxf wx of real exhaustion they had really run out of their inventiviness and everything that they knew to do to this other person, to bring this person out of a state of - well if I don't know quite hew to describe the state the person himself must go into in order to transcend this. I've done it myself, it's a very far out, a very flipped out experience. It was one of the mest astenishing physical things that ever kxx happened to me. The spectators etc. really didn't think that I was going to survite, or that this firl was going to survive we did this thing with a girl. But we both did and we both felt that we had survived, that at them end of it we were tetally strong and fresh and we had no problem; kxxx because there was a physical relationship, we'd maintained a particular breathing pattern which was essential, we

established an identity by the repetition of a sound which beth of us chese different sounds - wewent into a state of total passive resistance; but we felt completely at one with all of these who were working on us and doing things to I felt that when I came out of that experience, that I had gained a year of life, that my body, my organs, things had been awakened in me, I had been entembed, I had expanded - it was a very xxx glerious thing. That evening, walking through the streets of Rome, some cats driving past in a car as I was stepping off a curb, came very close to me very deliberately, henked their herns, made a very wild seund, and I jumped back ente the curb and was tetally sheek up by it. And I realised that at that mement my bedy had gene through - that the bleed vessels had centracted, the nerves had extended themselves, the adrenalin had flown, my stemachy had tightened.

Ginger- ... chap arguing about organisation. Too much echo - might be usable, but prefer not to.

Then, a long section that sounds unusable.

(Man the complained about us shooting)
... I have the impression that these seminars, these little groups, are the life of the Congress, as well as the mornings, and we don't want too manyMeME meetings like this because we can't talk to one another. May I make two other points, since I've got this bloody thing, which is such a horrible thing, A, we've space on Thursday evening (and he continues in this vein) secondly, the thing we haven't had in this Congress is a bit of music - is there any place for any music

(good quality)
Ginger ...if the circle was in the centre here, what would be the
distribution of speakers? Here there are 15 speakers, everybody's
speaking, the density of speakers is enormous here (everybody breaks
in to say something)

??? thing on organization, but bad quality.

Ginsberg offering to do chanting and singing
Girl next to Ginsberg. I thought people were so violent towards each
other, I thought they were funny.

GEV (living theatre)
....now in exile in Europe has just arrived, and we're going to start
ourseminar about the relevance of a new kind of theatre to the things
we've been discussing since the beginning of this congress - this
seminar will start now, in the annex.

GUT

New (Living theatre man): ... in this area, the practical work that one can do at the moment is evasive, but perhaps it begins with the general assault on all of the culture, so that we begin to weaken the fabric, to weaken the ties and the lines that keep us constantly enthralled by the need for money. If one can begin to break down in the consciousness of man those things which make him believe in the need for it, that perhaps is the first step. when one begins to

schow or other the notion that MKH a potential renewal of s is necessary... (mike sound) CUT

... money is, I think, .the .thing which, like authority, puts the lie in the mouth. I think that one finds for instance that in the business world that lives really on credit, which is a lie, and it puts into the mouths of daily speakers constant destruction of the whole glory of the word because it constantly is making people E lie. One never tells the truth in business, because one is Kak always trying to get more money, and if you are always trying to get more money there are certain things which must be kept secret. The secret, the dark areas of the world, these mysteries have to be exploded, the light has to be brought there. When we speak the truth I think it always puts a little light in these secret places, and sometimes I think that the impostance of some of the work that we do on the stage is to try and say on the stage something that is true and something that we know is true. And if we really have a belief in this, and we are really trying to do what we are saying on the stage, then we have a right to say it on the stage, and if we say it on the stage, we have a right to do it in life, that again is a part of that destruction of separation between work and life that I spoke of earlier. But I speak of this particular battle against money because I think that the time dictates to us that now we have to begin to talk about this, we have to begin to talk aloud, so that people know that when we talk of a total assault on culture and when we talk of a society that is free, that this must be what is really meant. and that his is really very much of the substance of what it is about. CUT

Ginsberg at phone: (chanting) telephone system doesn't even work - breakdown of all machinery - (dialling) (talking about his proofs)